Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2013/10/08	Document: CWA_XBRL_WI001-3 (E)	Project: **XBRL**

MB/ NC[1]	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment[2]	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
EBA		Introduction, subheader "General", first bullet point			It may be better to refer to insurance supervisors in addition to banking supervisors (EIOPA covers Insurance and pensions.)		
EBA		3.1.2	First para following the bullet points		3.1.2 Filing Indicators has an error brought across from the EBA source document "filing indicators are facts included as part of an instance document where the filer *estates* which " - should be "states		
EBA		3.1.1(?)			**oloc = owner \ subparticle - as per EBA(crr) and EIOPA(sd/hd...) **		
EBA		3.3.1	2nd para		3.3.1 General information concepts of the dictionary "The first versions of the dictionary will not include these attributes." should perhaps be generalised to "The first versions of a dictionary will not generally include these attributes."		
EBA		3.3.1	Table 3		Table 3 - role types per a comment to the EBA consultation, worth elaborating on the use of verboseLabel for definition (vs. possible arguable alternatives for standard label role). VerboseLabel used for consistency between standard and generic labels.		
EBA		3.3.1	WHAT SECTION?		model:hierarchy attribute for metrics? (discuss with EIOPA?)		

Template for comments and secretariat observations				Date: 2013/10/08	Document: CWA_XBRL_WI001-3 (E)		Project: **XBRL**

MB/ NC[1]	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment[2]	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
EB A		3.3.1	3.4.6.4 , last 2 bullet points	All Figs	Mapping diagrams are very helpful. ids of validations. Note that EIOPAs validation id pattern is different (?) so may not be a good idea to include a particular pattern in the CEN document.		