

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 14/X/2013	Document: CWA_XBRL_WI001-1 (E).doc	Project: XBRL
-----------------	---------------------------------------	------------------

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
SP/u c3m 1	7	Introduction	Figure 1	ge	In the page 7, figure: Figure 1 —Structural Perspective, the cardinality of 1 is not necessary.		
SP/u c3m	7			Ge	In page 7, in “4.3 TITLE NEEDED”	“4.3 INTRODUCTION DPM, DEFINITIONS”.	
SP/u c3m	9		4.3.7	Ge	In page 9, the comment: “4.3.7 Hierarchy, In the DataPointModel a Hierarchy forms are sets of DefinedMembers of an EnumerableDimension arranged in a hierarchical disposition.”.	In my opinion, to speak of things that they are bellow defined, is not correct. Maybe: “In the DataPointModel a Hierarchy forms are sets of concepts of a domain (DefinedMembers) of a dimension (EnumerableDimension) arranged in a hierarchical disposition.”.	
SP/u c3m	9		4.3.9	Ge	In the page 9, the same comment that early. “4.3.9 Module, A Module is a group of DataPoints with its appropriate Dimensions and concepts of the dimension (DataCubes) that carry relevant identical semantics and may serve the reporting process. Modules define sets of business information that should be reported together, i.e. to conduct validation rules that are defined across DataCubes.		
SP/u c3m	9		4.3.10	Ge	In page 9, in “4.3.10 Dimension, A Dimension can refer to a Domain.”.	I would exchange by in “4.3.10 Dimension, A Dimension must refer to a Domain.”.	
SP/u c3m	14		4.5.1	ge	In the page 14, “4.5.1 Introduction ... Eventhough this perspective shows that a DataPoint can only refer to a DimensionedElement without a relation to a Dimension. ...”,	“4.5.1 Introduction ... Even though this perspective shows that a DataPoint can only refer to a DimensionedElement without a relation to a Dimension. ...”.	
SP/u c3m	17		4.6	ge	In page 17, “4.6 Hierarchical Perspective 1) When using multiple DimensionedElements on a single Dimension that has a Hierarchy in its DefinedMembers, the required math may not be possible to perform.”. I don’t understand. Only, it is possible to define a data point with only a		

¹ Carlos III University of Madrid.

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 14/X/2013	Document: CWA_XBRL_WI001-1 (E).doc	Project: XBRL
-----------------	---------------------------------------	------------------

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
					member domain by dimension. Is this that you want to say?		
SP/u c3m	18		4.6.2	ge	In page 18, "4.6.2 RuleRelationship ... The list of possible signs in a DataPointModel is not determined. Examples are: + (plus sign) or - (minus sign).". Can have in a hierarchy "*" or "/"? I think: "4.6.2 RuleRelationship ... The list of possible signs in a DataPointModel must be "+" or "-". Examples are: + (plus sign) or - (minus sign).".		
SP/u c3m	20		figure 5	ge	Page 20, figure 5, the arrow to tablesheet is longer.		
SP/u c3m	23			ge	k. In page 23, it is a doubt. "Rule 1.9 — There MUST NOT be a doubling of DefinedMembers in the same Dimension. A DefinedMember MUST only be references once in a Dimension.". I understand that a member domain can belong to several dimensions, cannot it?		

¹ **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial